

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,254	08/31/2006	Ruedi Hess	1009765-000064	8259
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 08/27/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAM	EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			HURLEY, SHAUN R	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3765		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

#### Application No. Applicant(s) HESS, RUEDI 10/591,254 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	)4(b).	

	Shaun R. Hurley	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.134 after SIx 6/1 MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or stended period for reply with by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term disjustement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a 3) Since this application is in condition for allowanc closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/lare: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign g a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati by documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No  ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/31/06

Application/Control Number: 10/591,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because as filed, the drawings are illegible. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abevance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 5, 6, 8-13, 16, 18, 22, and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claims 5, 12, and 13, how is strength measured?

In regards to claim 6, the phrase "at least one other fiber" is unclear. Is this in addition to the one in claim 1?

In regards to claim 8, what is meant by "less stretching"? As compared to what?

In regards to claim 9, what is meant by "much higher elasticity and/or stretching"? As compared to what?

In regards to claim 10, what is meant by "much higher abrasion resistance and/or impregnation and/or coating"? As compared to what?

In regards to claim 11, it is unclear whether the jacket has or has not yet been heat treated

In regards to claim 12, what is meant by "higher stiffness"? As compared to what?

In regards to claims 16 and 18, what is meant by "insensitive to moisture"?

In regards to claim 22, what is meant by "one another fiber"?

In regards to claim 24, what is meant by "45° to 90° or 90°"? Why is 90° listed twice?

In regards to claims 25-30, it is unclear what Applicant is attempting to claim since the preamble states that the invention is a rope-like structure, yet the body of the claims teaches another structure which does not further limit the "rope-like structure"? Is this intended use? Is applicant trying to claim another distinct invention?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-32, to the degree definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Land et al (6410140).

Land teaches a rope-like structure having a core, two sheathes, and at least one other fiber which is meltable and provides slip proof mutual bonding between the layers of the yarn, with the use of Kevlar, which is pre-oriented (Figure 1, Abstract).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/591,254

Art Unit: 3765

# Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). Sec., e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, I1 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 645 (CCPA 1962).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January I, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-32 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 7360477. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each teaches a core/sheath rope-like structure with an amount of fibers binding the core to the sheath...

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fujiwara et al (20030070413) teaches what is well known in the art.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
  examiner should be directed to Shaun R. Hurley whose telephone number is (571) 272-4986.
   The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri, 8:00 am 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,254

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaun R Hurley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

SRH 20 August 2009

/Shaun R Hurley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765